

**Health Services Research and Pharmacy  
Practice Conference 2011  
School of Pharmacy, University of East Anglia**

**Abstract Judging Criteria**

---

'Marking Grid' for Abstracts (qualitative / quantitative only)

Score	0	1	2
<i>Are the aims clearly stated?</i>	Aims not stated	Aims presented but not entirely clear	Aims clearly presented
<i>Is the design appropriate?</i>	Design does not appear to be appropriate	Design seems appropriate although other methods may have been better	Design seems entirely appropriate to the research question
<i>Is the method clearly described?</i>	Method not described	Method described to a limited extent but all aspects of method not entirely clear	Method clearly and unequivocally described
<i>Have the findings (both positive and negative) been described clearly?</i>	No findings are provided	Findings mentioned but unclear or not well described.	Findings clearly identified and well described.
<i>Are there implications for practice, research and/or policy?</i>	Implications of the research findings are not discussed.	Implications not clear or not well described.	Clear implications for practice, research or policy discussed

	Good reason for outright rejection
	Possible reason for rejection
	Good reason for acceptance

Disclaimer – Final decision is based on the abstract as a whole. The HSRPP will not enter into discussion on their final decisions.